

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	O. F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/523,849	(07/26/2005	Stefano Cavallari	U 015621-7 4414	
140	7590	12/11/2006	·	EXAMINER	
	& PARRY	ET	MAUST, TIMOTHY LEWIS		
	ST 61ST STREET YORK, NY 10023			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	·			3751	
				DATE MAILED: 12/11/2006	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Applica	ation No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/523	3,849	CAVALLARI, ST	CAVALLARI, STEFANO	
Office Action Summary	Exami	ner	Art Unit		
	Timoth	y L. Maust	3751		
The MAILING DATE of this comm	nunication appears on	the cover sheet v	with the correspondence a	address	
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE - Extensions of time may be available under the provis after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this c - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for rany reply received by the Office later than three mon earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	E MAILING DATE OF ions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no ommunication. In statutory period will apply an eply will, by statute, cause the ths after the mailing date of this	THIS COMMUN be event, however, may a d will expire SIX (6) MO application to become a	IICATION. The reply be timely filed ENTHS from the mailing date of this ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s)	filed on 26 July 2005				
2a)☐ This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This action is				
3)☐ Since this application is in conditi	•		tters, prosecution as to the	he merits is	
closed in accordance with the pra	actice under Ex parte	Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are pending in th	e application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) i	• •	consideration.			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6,11 and 12</u> is/are reje	ected.				
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>7-10,13</u> is/are objected	io.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to res	triction and/or election	n requirement.			
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by	the Examiner.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 Februa</u>	<u>ry 2005</u> is/are: a)⊠ a	accepted or b)	objected to by the Exan	niner.	
Applicant may not request that any o	bjection to the drawing(s	s) be held in abeya	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) include	ling the correction is req	uired if the drawin	g(s) is objected to. See 37	CFR 1.121(d).	
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objecte	d to by the Examiner.	Note the attache	ed Office Action or form F	PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a cla	im for foreign priority	under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a)⊠ All b)⊡ Some * c)⊡ None o	f:				
 Certified copies of the prior 	ity documents have b	een received.			
2. Certified copies of the prior	ity documents have b	een received in	Application No		
Copies of the certified copi	· ·		n received in this Nationa	al Stage	
application from the Interna	•	, ,,			
* See the attached detailed Office ad	ction for a list of the ce	ertified copies no	t received.		
Attachment(s)		_			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review 	(DTO 048)		Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date		
 Anotice of Drantsperson's Patent Drawing Review Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/0 		5) D Notice of	Informal Patent Application		
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2/7/05.		6) 🔲 Other: _	·		

Art Unit: 3751

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: On page 5, line 5, "so" should be changed to - - to - -. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-4, 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nishino et al. in view of Castellari.

In regard to claims 1-4, the Nishino reference discloses a container "filling machine" (Figure 2) comprising a "carousel conveyor" (Figure 1), a "load cell" 58, a "gripper" 50 and "filling heads" 52, but doesn't disclose having a vertically adjustable plate for variously sized containers. However, the Castellari reference discloses another container filling and weighing machine (Figures 12 and 13) having a vertically adjustable plate (81, 82) to secure a container at its bottom and makes adjustments via screw 84 and rod 24 to accommodate variously sized bottles.

In regard to claim 11, see "jaws" 78 and spring 82 in Figure 3 of Nishino et al.

In regard to claim 12, see "rocker arms" 60 and load cell 58 in Figure 3 of Nishino et al.

Claims 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nishino et al. as applied above and further in view of Kondo et al.

The Nishino et al. reference discloses the invention substantially as claimed (discussed supra), but does not disclose a horizontally moveable key and corresponding holes along the vertical rod. However, the Kondo et al. reference discloses another filling machine having a vertically adjustable plate and horizontally moveable key 66 and corresponding holes (41-44) for vertical adjustment. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to substitute the moveable key arrangement for the screw arrangement on the Nishino et al. device as, for example, taught by the Kondo et al. reference wherein so doing would amount to mere substitution of one functional equivalent vertical adjustment arrangement for another within the same art and the selection of any of these arrangements would work equally well in the Nishino et al. device.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 7-10 and 13 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 3751

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The Fischer, Peronek and Graffin references pertain to various filling machines, similar to Applicant's device.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Timothy L. Maust whose telephone number is (571) 272-4891. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. - Thur. 6:30 - 5:00.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Timothy L Maust Primary Examiner Art Unit 3751

Tlm 11/30/06